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## Needs of Large Covariance Matrix

- Portfolio Management in Finance (Markowitz 52)
- Classification (e.g. Fisher discriminant, Shao et al. 11)
- Network and graphical models
- High frequency data


## Examples of high dimensional covariance matrices

## Finance

Jagannathan and Ma (2003):

- $p$ assets with returns at time $t$ (\% change values):

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\left(y_{1 t}, y_{2 t}, \ldots, y_{p t}\right)^{\prime}
$$

- Portfolio (proportions of total amount of money to invest):

$$
\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p}\right)^{\prime}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} w_{i}=1
$$

- Return at time $t+1$ : $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} y_{t+1}$.
- Risk $\operatorname{var}\left(\mathbf{w}^{\prime} y_{t+1}\right)=\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \Sigma \mathbf{w}$, where $\Sigma=\operatorname{var}\left(\mathbf{y}_{t}\right)$.


## Optimal Portfolio

Markowitz (1952):

- Expect to earn $\mu$ at time $t+1$,

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \Sigma \mathbf{w} \quad \text { s.t. } \mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{1}=1 \quad \mathbf{w}^{\prime} E \mathbf{y}_{t+1}=\mu .
$$

- Solution: $\mathbf{w}^{*}=c_{1} \Sigma^{-1} E \mathbf{y}_{t+1}+c_{2} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}$.
- Typical data set of US stock market may contain $p=4883$ stocks, $T=60$ months.


## Classification

Disease classification using bioinformatic data (Shao et al. 11)

- Two types of human acute leukemias
- acute myeloid leukemia (AML)
- acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)
- Distinguishing ALL from AML is crucial for successful treatment
- Classification based solely on $p=1,714$ genes
- A training data set
- 47 ALL $\sim N_{p}\left(\mu_{1}, \Sigma\right)$
- $25 \mathrm{AML} \sim N_{p}\left(\mu_{2}, \Sigma\right)$
- Fisher discr. $\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\bar{\mu}) \geq 0$
- $p$ is much larger than $n$.


## Graphical Modeling

Graphic model (Meinshausen and Bühlmann 06, Zhou et al. 11)

- Vertices: components of $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right)^{\prime} \sim N_{p}(0, \Sigma)$.
- Edges: the conditional dependence

No edge between $i$ and $j \Longleftrightarrow y_{j} \perp y_{j}$ |other

- Precision matrix: $\Sigma^{-1}=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)_{p \times p}$
- $\omega_{i j}=0$ iff $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ are cond. indep.
- A simple network graph corr. to a sparse precision matrix.



## Climate Data

- 157January temperatures are recorded (1850-2006) by $p=2,592$ stations over the world.
- Study the climate correlations among geographical regions in North America and Eurasia. (Bickel and Levina 08)



## Statistical Inference

(1) High dim. generalized least-squares
(2) High dim. seemingly unrelated regression
(3) Testing CAPM (mean-variance efficiency of market)

## Challenge of Dimensionality

Estimating high-dim. covariance matrices is challenging.

- Suppose we have 2,000 stocks to be managed. There are 2 m free parameters.
- Yet, 1-year daily returns yield only about $T=250$. Hard to accurately estimated it.
- Risk: $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \widehat{\Sigma} \mathbf{w}, \quad$ Allocation: $\hat{c}_{1} \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}+\hat{c}_{2} \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{y}}$. Accumulation of millions of errors can have a huge effect.
- Sample covariance matrix is degenerate.


## Approaches to Dimension Reduction

Target: $\Sigma_{y}=\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{y})$.
Strict Factor Model (Fan et al. 08)

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{B f}_{t}+\mathbf{u}_{t}, \quad t \leq T
$$

- $\mathbf{f}_{t}=$ common factors $\quad \mathbf{B}=$ factor loadings
$\mathbf{u}_{t}=$ idiosyncratic component
- Fama-French-3-factor-model (Fama and French 92) $\mathbf{y}_{t}$ represents the stock returns. $K=3$ known factors.
Sparsity based model (Bickel and Levina 08a,b) thresholding penalized likelihood


## Strict Factor Model

- $y_{i t}=\mathbf{b}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}_{t}+u_{i t}$. Implied covariance:

$$
\Sigma_{y}=\mathbf{B} \operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \mathbf{B}^{\prime}+\Sigma_{u}
$$

Assume $\Sigma_{u}$ is diagonal.

- After common factors are taken out, industry-specific factors are still correlated within the industry. (Connor and Korajczyk 93)
- $\Sigma_{u}$ is diagonal only if $K$ is large.
- We allow for non-diagonal $\Sigma_{u}$ : approximate factor model (Chamberlain and Rothchild 83, Bai and Ng 02).


## Sparsity Based Model

- Covariance matrix, precision matrix.
- Sparsity in $\Sigma_{y}$ rarely occurs in many applications.
- Returns depend on equity market risks
- Housing prices depend on economic health
- Gene expressions depend on cytokines


## Contributions of This Talk

Model-based method

$$
\Sigma_{y}=\mathbf{B} \operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \mathbf{B}^{\prime}+\Sigma_{u}
$$

- $\Sigma_{u}$ is sparse

$$
m_{T}=\max _{i \leq p} \sum_{j \leq p} I\left(\sigma_{u, i j} \neq 0\right)
$$

generalizable to $I_{q}$-norm.

- Investigate the estimation effect using contaminated data.
- In many cases the factors are unobservable.
- Examine impact of dependence data.


## Sparse-based Matrix Estimation

Thresholding Bickel and Levina 08a, Rothman, Levina and Zhu 09, Cai and Zhou 11, etc

Adaptive thresholding Cai and Liu 11.
Banding Pourahmadi and Wu 03, Bickel and Levina 08b.
Penalization Lam and Fan 09, Bien and Tibshirani 11.
Bayesian Bhattacharya and Dunson 11.
Sparse PCA Zou, Hastie and Tibshirani 04, Jung and Marron 09, Johnstone and Lu 09.

## Covariance Estimation with Contaminated Data

Suppose

$$
\mathbf{u} \sim\left(0_{p}, \Sigma_{u}\right), \quad \Sigma_{u} \text { sparse }
$$

- $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{T}$ are iid copies of $\mathbf{u}$.
- Instead of $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$, we only observe contaminated $\left\{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$.
- Examples of contaminated data:
- regression residuals
- measurement of error
- Goal: estimate $\Sigma_{u}$.
(1) Obtain sample covariance $\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{i j}\right)$ based on $\left\{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$.
(2) Apply (adaptive) thresholding (Cai and Liu 11):

$$
\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}=\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{i j}^{\mathcal{T}}\right), \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{i j}^{\mathcal{T}}=\widehat{\sigma}_{i j} I\left(\left|\widehat{\sigma}_{i j}\right| / \hat{\theta}_{i j} \geq \omega_{T}\right) \quad \hat{\theta}_{i j}=S D\left\{\hat{u}_{i t} \hat{u}_{j t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}
$$

## Theorem 1

Under Assumption $A$, with $\omega_{T}=\left(\frac{\log p}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}+a_{T}$,

$$
\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}-\Sigma_{u}\right\|=O_{p}\left(\omega_{T} m_{T}\right)=\left\|\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right\|
$$

where $^{\max }{ }_{i \leq p} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\hat{u}_{i t}-u_{i t}\right)^{2}=O_{p}\left(a_{T}^{2}\right)$.

Assumption A:

- $\Sigma_{u}$ is well conditioned.
- $P\left(\left|u_{i t}\right|>s\right) \leq \exp \left(-(s / b)^{r}\right)$.
- $\max _{i, t}\left|\hat{u}_{i t}-u_{i t}\right|=o_{p}(1)$.


## Observable Factors

## Observable Factors

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{B f}_{t}+\mathbf{u}_{t}, \quad t=1, \ldots, T
$$

(1) Run OLS to obtain loadings $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ and residuals $\left\{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$.
(2) Obtain sample covariance $\hat{\Sigma}_{u}$ based on $\left\{\widehat{u}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$.
(3) Apply (adaptive) thresholding to get $\hat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\tau}$.
(9) Compute $\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}=\widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widehat{\widehat{\operatorname{cov}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \widehat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime}+\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\tau}$.

Theorem 2
Under Assumptions A, B(below),

$$
\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}-\Sigma_{u}\right\|=O_{p}\left(m_{T} \omega_{T}\right)=\left\|\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right\|
$$

where $\omega_{T}=K\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{T}}\right)$ is the threshold.

- Minimax rate in Cai and Zhou (2010) for finite $K$.

Assumption B:

- $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}$ is stationary and ergodic.
- $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}$ are independent.
- Exponential $\alpha$-mixing: $\alpha(t) \leq \exp \left(-C t^{\gamma}\right)$
- Exponential tail: $\forall s>0, P\left(\left|f_{i t}\right|>s\right) \leq \exp \left(-(s / b)^{r}\right)$.


## Accuracy of Residuals $a_{T}$

- Errors in estimating residuals:

$$
\max _{i \leq p} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\hat{u}_{i t}-u_{i t}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\|^{2} \max _{i}\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i}-\mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|^{2} .
$$

- Need to bound $\max _{i j}\left|\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{i t} u_{j t}\right|$ for dependent seq.
- Bernstein ineq. (Merlevède et al. 09)

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(\left|\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{i t} u_{j t}\right|>s\right) \leq T \exp \left(-\frac{(T s)^{r_{3}}}{C_{1}}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{T^{2} s^{2}}{C_{2}\left(1+T C_{3}\right)}\right) \\
+ \text { small. }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Hence, $\max _{i \leq p} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|u_{i t}-\hat{u}_{i t}\right|^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{K^{2} \log p}{T}\right)$.


## Estimation of $\Sigma_{y}$

Some insights on the phenomenon: toy example.

- We know $\mathbf{b}_{i}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{\prime}, \Sigma_{u}=I_{p}$.

$$
\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}=\mathbf{B} \widehat{\operatorname{cov}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right) \mathbf{B}^{\prime}+I_{p} .
$$

- The estimated errors are accumulated

$$
\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|=O_{p}\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{T}}\right) .
$$

Consider a different norm (entropy loss):

$$
\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}-I_{p}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{p}\left\|\Sigma_{y}^{-1 / 2}\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right) \Sigma_{y}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

Theorem 3
If $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}^{\prime}\right)>C$, and $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right)\right)>C$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}-I_{p}\right)^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{p K^{2}}{T^{2}}+\frac{m_{T}^{2} K^{2} \log p}{T}\right) \\
& \left\|\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{y}^{-1}\right\|^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{m_{T}^{2} K^{2} \log p}{T}\right) \\
& \left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{K^{2} \log p+K^{4} \log T}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall $\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y, \text { sam }} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}-I_{p}\right)^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{p}{T}\right)$ (Fan et al. 08).

## Unobservable Factors

## Unobservable Factors

- In many applications, $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ are unobservable. (Forni et al. 00)
- PC decomposition:

$$
\widehat{\Sigma}_{y, \text { sam }}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=K+1}^{p} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

- Thresholding $\sum_{i=K+1}^{p} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}$.
- Estimator:

$$
\widehat{\Sigma}_{y} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{K} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}+\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}
$$

## Least squares point of view

$$
\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{B f}_{t}+u_{i t}
$$

- Need to estimate B and $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$.
- Minimize (Bai, 03):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i}, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}\right)=\arg \min _{\mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{f}_{t}} \frac{1}{T p} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(y_{i t}-\mathbf{b}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}_{t}\right)^{2} . \\
& \text { s.t. } \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i}^{\prime}=I_{K}, \quad \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}^{\prime} \text { diagonal. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Solution $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ : $K$ largest eigenvectors of $\widehat{\Sigma}_{y, \text { sam }}$.
- $\widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widehat{\operatorname{cov}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}\right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}$.
- $\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$ consistently estimates $\mathbf{b}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}_{t}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty, T \rightarrow \infty$.
- Residual: $\hat{u}_{i t}=y_{i t}-\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}$.

$$
\max _{i} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(u_{i t}-\hat{u}_{i t}\right)^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{K^{2} \log p}{T}+\frac{K^{6}}{p}\right)
$$

- Rate
- Decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Sigma}_{y, \text { sam }} & =\widehat{\mathbf{B} \operatorname{cov}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}\right) \widehat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime}+\widehat{\Sigma}_{u, \text { sam }} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{K} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=K+1}^{p} \widehat{\lambda}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Factor model v.s. PCA

- Factor model and PCA are asymptotically equivalent for high dimensional data.
- Suppose $\operatorname{cov}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t}\right)=I_{K}, \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}$ is diagonal. Chamberlain and Rothchild (1983) showed that the loadings can be obtained from eigenvalues.
- Result:

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}-\right\| \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{j}\left\|^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{j}\right\|=O_{p}\left(\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{\max }\left(\Sigma_{u}\right)\right)
$$

Theorem 4
Under Assumptions A, B and C, Assumpion C

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}-\Sigma_{u}\right\|=O_{p}(m_{T} K \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{T}}+\underbrace{\frac{m_{T} K^{3}}{\sqrt{p}}}_{\text {impact of unknown factors }} \\
\left\|\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{u}^{\mathcal{T}}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right\|=\text { the same order. }
\end{gathered}
$$

- The impact of estimating unobservable factors vanishes when $p \gg T$.
- $p$ can be "ultra-high".


## Estimation of $\Sigma_{y}$

Define

$$
\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|_{\Sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}-I_{p}\right)^{2}
$$

Theorem 5
When $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}$ are unobservable,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{y}^{-1}\right\|=O_{p}\left(m_{T} K \sqrt{\frac{\log p}{T}}+\frac{m_{T} K^{3}}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \\
& \left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|_{\Sigma}=O_{p}\left(\frac{\sqrt{p} K}{T}+\frac{m_{T} K \sqrt{\log p}+K^{2}}{\sqrt{T}}+\frac{m_{T} K^{3}}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \\
& \left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}=O_{p}\left(\frac{K^{3} \sqrt{\log K}+K \sqrt{\log p}}{\sqrt{T}}+\frac{K^{3}}{\sqrt{p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks

- Many other regularization methods can also be employed.
- Generalized threshold (Antoniadis and Fan 01, Rothman et al. 09) $\Rightarrow$ Generalized adaptive thresholding (Cai and Liu 11)
- Penalized likelihood ( Bien and Tibshirani 11, Luo 11)
- Encompasses many estimators as special cases
- Applied to correlation matrix of $\mathbf{u}$ $\lambda=0 \Rightarrow$ sample cov. $\quad \lambda=1 \Rightarrow$ strict factor model.
- $K=0 \Rightarrow$ sparse matrix (Bickel and Levina 08, Cai and Liu 11)


## Numerical results

## Simulation Designs

Model Design Fama-French 3-factor model with parameters calibrated from the market. $N_{\text {sim }}=200$.

Calibration - Using 30 industrial portfolios from 1/1/09 to 12/31/10 ( $T=300$ ), fit the Fama-French model.

- Summarize 30 factor loadings by ( $\mu_{B}, \Sigma_{B}$ ) and residuals by $\left(\mu_{s}, \sigma_{s}\right)$.
- Fit $\operatorname{VAR}(1)$ model to $f_{t}$ and obtain model parameters.


## Detailed Simulation

Generation of Factors: $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T} \sim \operatorname{VAR}(1)$.

Simulation of returns: $\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{B f}_{t}+\mathbf{u}_{t}$ :

- factor loadings: $\mathbf{b}_{i} \sim N_{3}\left(\mu_{B}, \Sigma_{B}\right)$.
- noise level: $\sigma_{i} \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ with mean $\mu_{s}$ and $\operatorname{SD} \sigma_{s}$.
- noise vector $\mathbf{u}_{t} \sim N_{p}\left(0, \Sigma_{u}\right)$, where

$$
\Sigma_{u}=D \Sigma_{0} D^{\prime}
$$

where $\Sigma_{0}$ is a sparse correlation matrix.

## Simulation Results: $\operatorname{tr}^{1 / 2}\left(\widehat{\Sigma}_{y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}-I_{p}\right)^{2}$



## Simulation Results: $\left\|\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{y}\right)^{-1}-\Sigma_{y}^{-1}\right\|$



Simulation Results: $\left\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{y}-\Sigma_{y}\right\|$


## Empirical Example

- $p=50$ stocks from CRSP database. 5 industries, 10 companies each
(1) consumer goods \& apparel clothing
(2) financial-credit services
(3) health care
(4) services-restaurants
(5) utilities-water
- $T=252$ daily returns, Jan 2010-Dec 2010
- eigenvalues of sample covariance:

$$
\lambda_{1}=0.010, \quad \lambda_{2}=0.004, \quad \lambda_{3}=0.004, \quad \lambda_{i \geq 4}<0.002
$$

- threshold has been chosen by leave-one-out CV.


## Thresholded error correlation matrix



## Conclusions

Conditional Sparsity widens scope of applicability

- direct sparsity rarely occurs in Econ and Fin, and biology.
- strict factor model is also very restrictive.


## Method:

- easy to compute: keep first $K$ PCs, threshold remaining
- avoid numerical minimization w/ pd. constraints.


## Results:

- convergence rates for weighted $I_{2}$ loss, spectral norm, $I_{\infty}$
- when estimating $\Sigma_{u}, \Sigma^{-1}: \log p \ll T^{a}$
- PCA and factor model are asym. equiv. for high dim. data Impacts:
- impact of unob. factor vanishes for high dim.
- cov. estimation using contaminated data
- weakly dependent processes with mixing conditions


## Assumption C

Assumption 1
(1) $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ is stationary and ergodic
(2) $E\left[p^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{s}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{t}-E \mathbf{u}_{s}^{\prime} \mathbf{u}_{t}\right)\right]^{4}<M$,
(3) $E\left\|(p K)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbf{b}_{i} u_{i t}\right\|^{4}<M$.
(4) $p^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}$ is well conditioned for all large $p$.
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