

Modeling Open Economies

Roberto Chang

Rutgers

February 2014

Basic Modeling Decisions

- Large Economies versus Small Economies
- Commodity Structure: Exports, Imports, Nontraded Goods
- Relative Prices: Terms of Trade, Real Exchange Rate
- Financial Markets
- Nominal Rigidities: PCP versus LCP
- Optimal Monetary Policy

We follow Gali and Monacelli (2005) and Gali (2008).

- The world is a continuum of small economies of zero measure
- Each economy has a representative household
- Each country produces varieties of a differentiated good

Preferences

Consider a single (Home) country. The Home agent's consumes an aggregate of a Home composite good and imports:

$$C = \left[(1 - \alpha)^{1/\eta} C_H^{(\eta-1)/\eta} + \alpha^{1/\eta} C_F^{(\eta-1)/\eta} \right]^{\eta/(\eta-1)}$$

Consider a single (Home) country. The Home agent's consumes an aggregate of a Home composite good and imports:

$$C = \left[(1 - \alpha)^{1/\eta} C_H^{(\eta-1)/\eta} + \alpha^{1/\eta} C_F^{(\eta-1)/\eta} \right]^{\eta/(\eta-1)}$$

- This implies that

$$C_H = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{P_H}{P} \right)^{-\eta} C, C_F = \alpha \left(\frac{P_F}{P} \right)^{-\eta} C$$

where P is the CPI:

$$P = \left[(1 - \alpha) P_H^{1-\eta} + \alpha P_F^{1-\eta} \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$$

- The Home composite is Dixit-Stiglitz:

$$C_H = \left[\int C_H(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- The Home composite is Dixit-Stiglitz:

$$C_H = \left[\int C_H(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- The imports composite is C.E.S. of the composite good produced in every other country i :

$$C_F = \left[\int C_i^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} di \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$$

- The Home composite is Dixit-Stiglitz:

$$C_H = \left[\int C_H(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- The imports composite is C.E.S. of the composite good produced in every other country i :

$$C_F = \left[\int C_i^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} di \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$$

- Here,

$$C_i = \left[\int C_i(j)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} dj \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

The previous implies that

$$C_H(j) = \left(\frac{P_H(j)}{P_H} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_H$$
$$P_H = \left[\int P_H(j)^{1-\varepsilon} dj \right]^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}$$

Analogous expressions are derived for C_i , $C_i(j)$, P_F , and P_i

Budget Constraint

Assuming complete markets, the budget constraint is written as:

$$P_t C_t + E_t [Q_{t,t+1} D_{t+1}] \leq D_t + W_t N_t + T_t$$

where $Q_{t,t+1}$ is the *stochastic discount factor* for Home currency payoffs, which in equilibrium must satisfy

$$Q_{t,t+1} = \beta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}$$

Implications of Optimal Household Behavior

- 1 Optimal Labor Supply requires:

$$C^\sigma N^\varphi = \frac{W}{P}$$

Implications of Optimal Household Behavior

- 1 Optimal Labor Supply requires:

$$C^\sigma N^\varphi = \frac{W}{P}$$

- 2 The nominal interest rate is

$$\frac{1}{1+i_t} = E_t Q_{t,t+1} = E_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right]$$

Some Definitions I

- 1 The Home *terms of trade* is given by P_F/P_H or, in log deviations from ss,

$$s = p_F - p_H$$

- 2 The CPI definition now gives (prove it!):

$$p = p_H + \alpha s$$

- 3 Hence the difference between CPI inflation and "domestic " inflation is

$$\pi_t = \pi_{Ht} + \alpha \Delta s_t$$

- 4 The *Law of One Price* says that the price of an imported good at Home equals its price in its country of origin, $P_i^i(j)$, corrected by the (nominal) exchange rate \mathcal{E}_i :

$$P_i(j) = \mathcal{E}_i P_i^i(j)$$

Some Definitions II

- 5 The bilateral *real exchange rate* between Home and country i is the ratio of their CPIs, $Q_i = \mathcal{E}_i P_i^i / P$
- 6 See Gali or GM to see the appropriate multilateral definitions
- 7 To first order,

$$s = e + p^* - p_H$$

where e is a nominal exchange rate index and p^* a world CPI index

- 8 The real exchange rate is related to the terms of trade by:

$$q = (1 - \alpha)s$$

Perfect risk sharing is given by the familiar condition:

$$C_t = \vartheta_i C_t^i Q_{it}$$

To first order, and assuming symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &= c_t^* + \frac{1}{\sigma} q_t \\ &= c_t^* + \frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma} s_t \end{aligned}$$

Implications for Demand I

The demand for Home variety j is

$$\begin{aligned} Y(j) &= C_H(j) + \int C_H^i(j) di \\ &= \left(\frac{P_H(j)}{P_H} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_H + \int \left(\frac{P_H^i(j)}{P_F^i} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_F^i di \\ &= \left(\frac{P_H(j)}{P_H} \right)^{-\varepsilon} \left[(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{P_H}{P} \right)^{-\eta} C + \int \left(\frac{P_H}{\varepsilon_i P_F^i} \right)^{-\gamma} \alpha \left(\frac{P_F^i}{P^i} \right)^{-\eta} C_i di \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using the perfect risk sharing condition $C_t = C_t^i Q_{it}$ and loglinearizing, this can be rewritten as:

$$y_t = c_t + \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} s_t$$

where

$$\omega = \sigma\gamma + (1 - \alpha)(\sigma\eta - 1)$$

Implications for Demand II

Recalling that, from perfect risk sharing, $c_t = c_t^* + \frac{1-\alpha}{\sigma} s_t$, one gets

$$y_t = c_t^* + \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} s_t$$

where

$$\sigma_\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{1 - \alpha + \alpha\omega}$$

Implications for the Dynamic IS

Linearizing the Euler equation gives

$$c_t = E_t c_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho)$$

Since $c_t = y_t - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} s_t$,

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho) - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} E_t \Delta s_{t+1}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - \rho) + \alpha(\omega - 1) E_t \Delta y_{t+1}^*$$

Implications for the Dynamic IS

Linearizing the Euler equation gives

$$c_t = E_t c_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho)$$

Since $c_t = y_t - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} s_t$,

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho) - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} E_t \Delta s_{t+1}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - \rho) + \alpha(\omega - 1) E_t \Delta y_{t+1}^*$$

- These expressions can be used to examine, for example, how an increase in openness (α) affect the sensitivity of demand to the interest rate

Implications for the Dynamic IS

Linearizing the Euler equation gives

$$c_t = E_t c_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho)$$

Since $c_t = y_t - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} s_t$,

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \rho) - \frac{\alpha\omega}{\sigma} E_t \Delta s_{t+1}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - \rho) + \alpha(\omega - 1) E_t \Delta y_{t+1}^*$$

- These expressions can be used to examine, for example, how an increase in openness (α) affect the sensitivity of demand to the interest rate
- The risk sharing condition plays a very important role here.

- Firms produce varieties with linear technology:

$$Y_t(j) = A_t N_t(j)$$

- Firms produce varieties with linear technology:

$$Y_t(j) = A_t N_t(j)$$

- Calvo pricing

Aside: The Currency Pricing Issue

- What is the appropriate assumption about how firms price their products?

Aside: The Currency Pricing Issue

- What is the appropriate assumption about how firms price their products?
- Traditional assumption is *producer currency pricing (PCP)*: firm j sets price for both domestic sales and exports in terms of its own currency

Aside: The Currency Pricing Issue

- What is the appropriate assumption about how firms price their products?
- Traditional assumption is *producer currency pricing (PCP)*: firm j sets price for both domestic sales and exports in terms of its own currency
- Alternative: *local currency pricing (LCP)*, under which firms set prices in terms of the currency where output will be sold.

- Here, the assumption is PCP

- Here, the assumption is PCP
- The assumption means that the Law of One Price holds, and that a nominal depreciation makes Home output cheaper, leading to *expenditure switching*

- Here, the assumption is PCP
- The assumption means that the Law of One Price holds, and that a nominal depreciation makes Home output cheaper, leading to *expenditure switching*
- Under LCP, a nominal depreciation does not change the relative prices faced by consumers, so there is no expenditure switching

- Here, the assumption is PCP
- The assumption means that the Law of One Price holds, and that a nominal depreciation makes Home output cheaper, leading to *expenditure switching*
- Under LCP, a nominal depreciation does not change the relative prices faced by consumers, so there is no expenditure switching
- Instead, a depreciation raises the Home currency value of exports and export profits (Betts and Devereux)

Inflation and Marginal Costs

The Calvo assumption results in the same relation between domestic inflation and marginal costs as in the closed economy:

$$\pi_{Ht} = \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \lambda mc_t$$

But here

$$\begin{aligned} mc_t &= w_t - p_{Ht} - a_t \\ &= w_t - p_t + p_t - p_{Ht} - a_t \\ &= \sigma c_t + \varphi n_t + \alpha s_t - a_t \\ mc_t &= \sigma c_t^* + \varphi y_t + s_t + (1 - \varphi) a_t \end{aligned}$$

$$mc_t = \sigma c_t^* + \varphi y_t + s_t + (1 - \varphi) a_t$$

Recalling $y_t = c_t^* + \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} s_t$,

$$mc_t = (\varphi + \sigma_\alpha) y_t + (\sigma - \sigma_\alpha) c_t^* - (1 + \varphi) a_t$$

$$mc_t = \sigma c_t^* + \varphi y_t + s_t + (1 - \varphi) a_t$$

Recalling $y_t = c_t^* + \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} s_t$,

$$mc_t = (\varphi + \sigma_\alpha) y_t + (\sigma - \sigma_\alpha) c_t^* - (1 + \varphi) a_t$$

- This tells us how marginal cost is affected by foreign variables, here summarized by c_t^*

$$mc_t = \sigma c_t^* + \varphi y_t + s_t + (1 - \varphi) a_t$$

Recalling $y_t = c_t^* + \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} s_t$,

$$mc_t = (\varphi + \sigma_\alpha) y_t + (\sigma - \sigma_a) c_t^* - (1 + \varphi) a_t$$

- This tells us how marginal cost is affected by foreign variables, here summarized by c_t^*
- Under flexible prices, real (producer) marginal cost is constant, so the above gives natural output:

$$y_t^n = \Gamma_a a_t + \Gamma_* c_t^*$$

with $\Gamma_a = (1 + \varphi) / (\sigma_a + \varphi)$ and $\Gamma_* = -\alpha(\omega - 1)\sigma_\alpha / (\sigma_\alpha + \varphi)$

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

One can now derive

$$\pi_{Ht} = \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha (y_t - y_t^n)$$

where

$$\kappa_\alpha = \lambda(\sigma_\alpha + \varphi)$$

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

One can now derive

$$\pi_{Ht} = \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha (y_t - y_t^n)$$

where

$$\kappa_\alpha = \lambda(\sigma_\alpha + \varphi)$$

- 1 Openness affects dynamics by affecting the slope of the Phillips Curve

The New Keynesian Phillips Curve

One can now derive

$$\pi_{Ht} = \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha (y_t - y_t^n)$$

where

$$\kappa_\alpha = \lambda(\sigma_\alpha + \varphi)$$

- 1 Openness affects dynamics by affecting the slope of the Phillips Curve
- 2 Foreign shocks (to consumption) affect the natural rate of output

Three equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Ht} &= \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha \tilde{y}_t \\ \tilde{y}_t &= E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - r_t^n) \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_{Ht} + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + v_t\end{aligned}$$

Three equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Ht} &= \beta E_t \pi_{H,t+1} + \kappa_\alpha \tilde{y}_t \\ \tilde{y}_t &= E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - r_t^n) \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_{Ht} + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + v_t\end{aligned}$$

- As exercise, derive r_t^n

Three equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Ht} &= \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha \tilde{y}_t \\ \tilde{y}_t &= E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - r_t^n) \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_{Ht} + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + v_t\end{aligned}$$

- As exercise, derive r_t^n
- Analysis of dynamics in Gali

Dynamics Under Interest Rate Rule

Three equation system:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{Ht} &= \beta E_t \pi_{Ht+1} + \kappa_\alpha \tilde{y}_t \\ \tilde{y}_t &= E_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} (i_t - E_t \pi_{H,t+1} - r_t^n) \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_{Ht} + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + v_t\end{aligned}$$

- As exercise, derive r_t^n
- Analysis of dynamics in Gali
- In practice, interest rate rules can depend on π_t , not π_{Ht}

Optimal Monetary Policy

- In a closed economy, with no "cost push" shocks, zero inflation is optimal because it addresses the distortions associated with price rigidities

- In a closed economy, with no "cost push" shocks, zero inflation is optimal because it addresses the distortions associated with price rigidities
- In an open economy, there is another distortion: the so called *terms of trade externality* (Corsetti and Pesenti)

- In a closed economy, with no "cost push" shocks, zero inflation is optimal because it addresses the distortions associated with price rigidities
- In an open economy, there is another distortion: the so called *terms of trade externality* (Corsetti and Pesenti)
- Home country policy affects the relative price of its exports

- In a closed economy, with no "cost push" shocks, zero inflation is optimal because it addresses the distortions associated with price rigidities
- In an open economy, there is another distortion: the so called *terms of trade externality* (Corsetti and Pesenti)
- Home country policy affects the relative price of its exports
- This implies the existence of an "optimal tariff" motive.

Ramsey Policy

Let us consider a simpler specification of demand for home goods:

$$Y_t = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{P_{ht}}{P_t} \right)^{-\eta} C_t + \alpha \left(\frac{P_{ht}^*}{P_t^*} \right)^{-\eta} C_t^*$$

where P_t^* is the world price level and

$$P_{ht}^* = P_{ht} / \mathcal{E}_t$$

This can be rewritten as:

$$Y_t = (1 - \alpha) p_{ht}^{-\eta} C_t + \alpha p_{ht}^{-\eta} Q_t^\eta C_t^*$$

where $p_{ht} = \frac{P_{ht}}{P_t}$ and

$$Q_t = \frac{\mathcal{E}_t P_t^*}{P_t}$$

is the real exchange rate.

Recalling:

$$P = \left[(1 - \alpha) P_H^{1-\eta} + \alpha P_F^{1-\eta} \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$$

and $P_F = \mathcal{E} \mathcal{P}^*$, it follows that

$$1 = \left[(1 - \alpha) p_h^{1-\eta} + \alpha Q^{1-\eta} \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$$

so we can write

$$p_h = g(Q) = \left[\frac{1 - \alpha Q^{1-\eta}}{(1 - \alpha)} \right]^{1/(1-\eta)}$$

Finally, recall the risk sharing condition:

$$C = C^* Q^{1/\sigma}$$

The *Ramsey problem* is to maximize $u(C) - v(N)$ subject to risk sharing, $p_h = g(Q)$, and

$$AN = (1 - \alpha)p_h^{-\eta} C + \alpha p_h^{-\eta} Q^\eta C^*$$

Ramsey Optimality Condition

You should show that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} Cu'(C) = Nv'(N)\epsilon_Q^D$$

where ϵ_Q^D is the total elasticity of demand wrt the real exchange rate

$$D = (1 - \alpha)g(Q)^{-\eta} C^* Q^{1/\sigma} + \alpha g(Q)^{-\eta} Q^\eta C^*$$

Flexible Price Outcome

Under flexible prices,

$$\begin{aligned}P_h &= \mu MC \\ &= \mu(1 - v)(W/A)\end{aligned}$$

This leads to (supply the details):

$$Cu'(C) = Nv'(N) \frac{\mu(1 - v)C}{g(X)AN}$$

Flexible Price Outcome

Under flexible prices,

$$\begin{aligned}P_h &= \mu MC \\ &= \mu(1 - v)(W/A)\end{aligned}$$

This leads to (supply the details):

$$Cu'(C) = Nv'(N) \frac{\mu(1 - v)C}{g(X)AN}$$

\implies The flex price outcome will be optimal only if $\frac{\mu(1-v)C}{g(X)AN} = \epsilon_Q^D$

Optimal Feasible Policy

In order to make progress, one can derive a second order approximation to utility of the form

$$-E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{1}{2} v_t' L_v v_t + v_t' L_a \hat{a}_t + \frac{1}{2} l_{\pi} \pi_{ht}^2 \right]$$

where $v_t = (\hat{y}_t, \hat{c}_t, \hat{p}_{ht}, \hat{x}_t)'$, L_v and L_a are matrices, and l_{π} is a scalar (Benigno and Benigno 2005).

Optimal Feasible Policy

In order to make progress, one can derive a second order approximation to utility of the form

$$-E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{1}{2} v_t' L_v v_t + v_t' L_a \hat{a}_t + \frac{1}{2} l_{\pi} \pi_{ht}^2 \right]$$

where $v_t = (\hat{y}_t, \hat{c}_t, \hat{p}_{ht}, \hat{x}_t)'$, L_v and L_a are matrices, and l_{π} is a scalar (Benigno and Benigno 2005).

- This contains no linear terms. Then one can use the *first order* approximation to the model equations to find optimal policy.

Actually, in this model one can express all the variables in v_t as a function of output:

$$v_t = N\hat{y}_t + N_e a_t$$

Replacing, the objective function can be rewritten as

$$-E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{1}{2} l_y (\hat{y}_t - \tilde{a}_t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} l_\pi \pi_{ht}^2 \right]$$

for suitable scalars l_y and l_π .

Here, \tilde{a}_t is a linear transformation of a_t (or of other shocks, if there were). Using the same representation for v_t one can write the Phillips curve as

$$\pi_{ht} = \lambda_y \hat{y}_t + \lambda_a a_t + \beta E_t \pi_{ht+1}$$

Optimal Policy, finally

The FOC for optimality can be written as

$$\pi_{ht} + \frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi} (\Delta \hat{y}_t - \Delta \tilde{a}_t) = 0$$

This can be seen as a "flexible inflation targeting" prescription.

Optimal Policy, finally

The FOC for optimality can be written as

$$\pi_{ht} + \frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi} (\Delta \hat{y}_t - \Delta \tilde{a}_t) = 0$$

This can be seen as a "flexible inflation targeting" prescription.

- Hence optimal policy "looks like" the closed economy one

Optimal Policy, finally

The FOC for optimality can be written as

$$\pi_{ht} + \frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi} (\Delta \hat{y}_t - \Delta \tilde{a}_t) = 0$$

This can be seen as a "flexible inflation targeting" prescription.

- Hence optimal policy "looks like" the closed economy one
- However, it must be stressed that open economy aspects of the model show up in the weight $\frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi}$ and the properties of \tilde{a}_t .

Optimal Policy, finally

The FOC for optimality can be written as

$$\pi_{ht} + \frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi} (\Delta \hat{y}_t - \Delta \tilde{a}_t) = 0$$

This can be seen as a "flexible inflation targeting" prescription.

- Hence optimal policy "looks like" the closed economy one
- However, it must be stressed that open economy aspects of the model show up in the weight $\frac{l_y}{\lambda_y l_\pi}$ and the properties of \tilde{a}_t .
- This is not the only way to express the optimal policy. One could express it in terms of e.g. inflation and a real exchange rate target.