Function Approximation and Functional Equations

Roberto Chang

Rutgers

April 2013

R. Chang (Rutgers)

Function Approximation

April 2013 1 / 21

Recall that the solution of the Lucas asset price model is given by:

$$p(z)u'(z) = \beta \int u'(z')[z'+p(z')]Q(z,dz')$$

This is a *functional equation* where the unknown is a function $p: Z \to \mathbb{R}_{++}$ Suppose that you know u, Z, Q, β . How do you compute an approximate solution? At least two nontrivial issues appear if e.g. Z is an interval:

I How do you compute the integral?

In this case, both issues "disappear " if one assumes that Z is a finite set. But in other contexts that may be unnatural or misleading. Hence we review procedures to deal squarely with the two issues. Additionally, we discuss a computational procedure to solve functional equations. At least two nontrivial issues appear if e.g. Z is an interval:

- I How do you compute the integral?
- e How do you even represent or approximate a candidate solution, a function $p: Z → \mathbb{R}_{++}$?

In this case, both issues "disappear " if one assumes that Z is a finite set. But in other contexts that may be unnatural or misleading. Hence we review procedures to deal squarely with the two issues. Additionally, we discuss a computational procedure to solve functional equations. • The problem is to approximate a (possibly intractable) real valued function f with a computationally tractable \hat{f} , using only limited information about f.

- The problem is to approximate a (possibly intractable) real valued function f with a computationally tractable \hat{f} , using only limited information about f.
- This turns out to be extremely useful in many contexts. For example, if one iterates on Bellman:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(i+1)}(k,z) &= Max_{a} \ u(k,z,a) + \beta \int v^{(i)}(k',z') Q(z,dz') \\ \text{s.t. } a &\in \Gamma(k,z) \\ k' &= \phi(k,a,z') \end{aligned}$$

at each step one only needs to solve for each iteration $v^{(i+1)}$ at a finite set of values in $K \times Z$, then form the approximation $\hat{v}^{(i+1)}$

• A useful approach to approximate a function *f* is to choose an approximant from a given family:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(x)$$

where $\phi_j(x)$, j = 1, ..., n are known basis functions and $c_1, ..., c_n$ are coefficients that pin down an approximant. n is called the *degree* of the approximation.

• A useful approach to approximate a function *f* is to choose an approximant from a given family:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(x)$$

where $\phi_j(x)$, j = 1, ..., n are known basis functions and $c_1, ..., c_n$ are coefficients that pin down an approximant. n is called the *degree* of the approximation.

• Obvious monomial example: let $\phi_j(x) = x^{j-1}$, so an n^{th} degree approximation to f is the polynomial $c_0 + c_1 x + ... + c_n x^{n-1}$

Approximation Decisions:

• How do you choose basis functions?

Approximation Decisions:

- How do you choose basis functions?
- Given basis functions, how do you choose the coefficients of the approximation?

• Let us focus on the choice of coefficients first: suppose that we are given a family of basis functions.

- Let us focus on the choice of coefficients first: suppose that we are given a family of basis functions.
- A typical situation: we know the values of f at some n nodes $x_1, ..., x_n : f(x_k) = y_k, k = 1, ... n$.

- Let us focus on the choice of coefficients first: suppose that we are given a family of basis functions.
- A typical situation: we know the values of f at some n nodes $x_1, ..., x_n : f(x_k) = y_k, k = 1, ... n$.
- Then we can choose the nodes by solving:

$$\hat{f}(x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(x_k) = y_k$$

- Let us focus on the choice of coefficients first: suppose that we are given a family of basis functions.
- A typical situation: we know the values of f at some n nodes $x_1, ..., x_n : f(x_k) = y_k, k = 1, ... n$.
- Then we can choose the nodes by solving:

$$\hat{f}(x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(x_k) = y_k$$

• This is a linear system of n equations in the n unknown coefficients $c_1, ..., c_n$

- Let us focus on the choice of coefficients first: suppose that we are given a family of basis functions.
- A typical situation: we know the values of f at some n nodes $x_1, ..., x_n : f(x_k) = y_k, k = 1, ... n$.
- Then we can choose the nodes by solving:

$$\hat{f}(x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j(x_k) = y_k$$

- This is a linear system of n equations in the n unknown coefficients c_1, \ldots, c_n
- Often we can *choose* the nodes, so this is another decision to be made.

Choosing Basis Functions: Spectral and Finite Element Methods

• Spectral methods use basis functions that are nonzero at almost all points of the domain (e.g. monomials). The most popular such method is polynomial interpolation.

Choosing Basis Functions: Spectral and Finite Element Methods

- Spectral methods use basis functions that are nonzero at almost all points of the domain (e.g. monomials). The most popular such method is polynomial interpolation.
- Finite element methods uses basis functions that are nonzero only over subintervals of the domain. Most popular: linear and cubic splines.

• The obvious choice for a basis, monomials, is not good because they are far from orthogonal as *n* increases. This makes the interpolation system ill conditioned

- The obvious choice for a basis, monomials, is not good because they are far from orthogonal as *n* increases. This makes the interpolation system ill conditioned
- A much better alternative: Chebychev polynomials. For x in [a, b], let z = (x a)/(b a) and define:

$$T_0(z) = 1, T_1(z) = z$$

$$T_j(z) = 2zT_{j-1}(z) - T_{j-2}(z), j \ge 2$$

• With polynomial approximation, the obvious choice of nodes is equidistant

- With polynomial approximation, the obvious choice of nodes is equidistant
- But this is known to be problematic

- With polynomial approximation, the obvious choice of nodes is equidistant
- But this is known to be problematic
- Preferred: Chebychev nodes, for i = 1, ..., n

$$x_i = \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2}\cos(\frac{n-i+0.5}{n}\pi)$$

• Instead of constructing a high degree smooth approximation that interpolates the data, one can subdivide the domain and fit low order polynomials to each part of the domain.

- Instead of constructing a high degree smooth approximation that interpolates the data, one can subdivide the domain and fit low order polynomials to each part of the domain.
- The simplest method is *piecewise linear approximation*, aka "connect the dots "

- Instead of constructing a high degree smooth approximation that interpolates the data, one can subdivide the domain and fit low order polynomials to each part of the domain.
- The simplest method is *piecewise linear approximation*, aka "connect the dots "
- A popular alternative: piecewise cubic

- Instead of constructing a high degree smooth approximation that interpolates the data, one can subdivide the domain and fit low order polynomials to each part of the domain.
- The simplest method is *piecewise linear approximation*, aka "connect the dots "
- A popular alternative: piecewise cubic
- Piecewise polynomial approximations can be seen as linear combination of basis functions called *splines*

A spline of order n on [a, b] is a function $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

• s has continuous derivatives up to order n-2

- A spline of order n on [a, b] is a function $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:
 - s has continuous derivatives up to order n-2
 - There are *m* nodes $a = x_1 < ... < x_m = b$ such that s(x) is a polynomial of degree n-1 on each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$

- A spline of order n on [a, b] is a function $s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:
 - s has continuous derivatives up to order n-2
 - There are *m* nodes $a = x_1 < ... < x_m = b$ such that s(x) is a polynomial of degree n-1 on each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$
 - An order 2 spline is just the common linear interpolant

• Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline

- Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline
- On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, the spline will have the representation $a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$

- Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline
- On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, the spline will have the representation $a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$
- Hence we have to fix 4n coefficients

- Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline
- On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, the spline will have the representation $a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$
- Hence we have to fix 4n coefficients
- The interpolation conditions, plus continuity and smoothness at the interior points, give 4n 2 conditions.

- Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline
- On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, the spline will have the representation $a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$
- Hence we have to fix 4n coefficients
- The interpolation conditions, plus continuity and smoothness at the interior points, give 4n 2 conditions.
- The two extra conditions are solved in different ways (*natural*, *Hermite*, *not-a-knot*)

- Suppose we have n + 1 nodes, $x_0, x_1...x_n$, and we know $y_i = f(x_i)$ at each node. We want to construct an interpolating cubic spline
- On each subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, the spline will have the representation $a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2 + d_i x^3$
- Hence we have to fix 4n coefficients
- The interpolation conditions, plus continuity and smoothness at the interior points, give 4n 2 conditions.
- The two extra conditions are solved in different ways (*natural*, *Hermite*, *not-a-knot*)
- The resulting system of equations to be solved is often linear and *sparse*

• As mentioned, splines can be expressed as linear combinations of a basis family called B-splines

- As mentioned, splines can be expressed as linear combinations of a basis family called B-splines
- For piecewise linear splines, B-splines are "tent functions ".

- As mentioned, splines can be expressed as linear combinations of a basis family called B-splines
- For piecewise linear splines, B-splines are "tent functions ".
- For cubic splines and others, see Judd or MF.

• Keep in mind that spectral methods fit a global approximant, whereas finite element methods are "local "

- Keep in mind that spectral methods fit a global approximant, whereas finite element methods are "local "
- For smooth functions, polynomial approximations are very good

- Keep in mind that spectral methods fit a global approximant, whereas finite element methods are "local "
- For smooth functions, polynomial approximations are very good
- If one has discontinuities, kinks, etc. splines may be preferable

- Consider approximating f(x, y). If {φ_i(x)}ⁿ_{i=1} and {η_j(y)}^m_{j=1} are one dimensional basis families, a basis family for the two dimensional case is given by the *tensor* family of products φ_i(x)η_i(y)
- Likewise, if {x₁...x_n} and {y₁...y_m} are nodes in the unidimensional case, for the two dimensional case one can use the nodes {(x_i, y_i)}

```
global vlast betta del theta k0 kt
vlast = zeros(1,100);
k0 = 0.06:0.06:6;
betta = 0.98; del = 0.1; theta = 0.36; numits = 240;
for k = 1:numits;
for j = 1:100
     kt = j * 0.06;
     ktp1 = fminbnd(@valfun,0.01,6.2);
    v(j) = -valfun(ktp1);
     kt1(j) = ktp1;
 end
vlast = v:
end
```

(3)

```
function val = valfun(x)
%VALFUN From Mc Candless, p. 67
% Auxiliary function
```

global vlast betta del theta k0 kt

```
cc = kt^theta + (1 - del)* kt - x;
g = interp1(k0, vlast, x, 'spline');
```

if cc<=0
 val = -888 - 800*abs(cc);
else
 val = log(cc) + betta*g;</pre>

end

val = -val; end

< ∃ > <

• Consider the problem: find a function $f:D\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f\in F$, such that for all $x\in D$

$$Tf(x) = 0$$

where $T: F \to F$ is an *operator* on F

• Consider the problem: find a function $f:D\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f\in F$, such that for all $x\in D$

$$Tf(x) = 0$$

where $T: F \rightarrow F$ is an *operator* on F

• Example: rewrite the Lucas tree problem as

$$Tp(z) \equiv p(z)u'(z) - \beta \int u'(z')[z' + p(z')]Q(z, dz') = 0$$

• Consider the problem: find a function $f:D\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f\in F$, such that for all $x\in D$

$$Tf(x) = 0$$

where $T: F \rightarrow F$ is an *operator* on F

• Example: rewrite the Lucas tree problem as

$$Tp(z) \equiv p(z)u'(z) - \beta \int u'(z')[z' + p(z')]Q(z, dz') = 0$$

 More generally: problems whose solutions are given by systems of functional equations • Suppose that we will look for an approximate solution in the family

$$\hat{f}(x;c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(x)$$

• Suppose that we will look for an approximate solution in the family

$$\hat{f}(x;c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(x)$$

• Fix the degree of the approximation, *n*. Then the *collocation method* requires the functional equation to hold *exactly* at *n* points (nodes) in the domain:

$$T\hat{f}(x_i;c) = T(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j)(x_i) = 0, i = 1, ...n$$

• Suppose that we will look for an approximate solution in the family

$$\hat{f}(x;c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(x)$$

• Fix the degree of the approximation, *n*. Then the *collocation method* requires the functional equation to hold *exactly* at *n* points (nodes) in the domain:

$$T\hat{f}(x_i;c) = T(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \phi_j)(x_i) = 0, i = 1, ...n$$

 This gives a (probably nonlinear) system of n equations for the n unknown coefficients c₁...c_n • Away from the nodes, the *residual function*:

$$R(x;c) = T\hat{f}(x;c) = T(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j)(x)$$

will not be zero. The quality of the approximation can be judged by looking at the residual function.

• Away from the nodes, the *residual function*:

$$R(x;c) = T\hat{f}(x;c) = T(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j)(x)$$

will not be zero. The quality of the approximation can be judged by looking at the residual function.

• Other methods choose *c* to make the residual function close to zero in different ways, e.g. on average.

• Away from the nodes, the *residual function*:

$$R(x;c) = T\hat{f}(x;c) = T(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j)(x)$$

will not be zero. The quality of the approximation can be judged by looking at the residual function.

- Other methods choose *c* to make the residual function close to zero in different ways, e.g. on average.
- For example, one could choose $c_1...c_n$ to minimize a version of least squares:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left[R(x;c) \right]^{2} w(x) dx$$

for some weight function w