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The inauguration of the euro on January 1, 1999, under the management of the European Central Bank (ECB) in Frankfurt, has been an epochal economic event warranting a reexamination of the general theory of employment, interest and money. The euro revolution invites students of economics to learn new macroeconomics in its supranational framework, instead of that of the traditional sovereign nation state economies. Indeed, the challenge is to unlearn the macroeconomics we learned earlier.

Much has been written about the fluctuations in the euro-dollar exchange rate. In January 1999 the euro rate was established at $1.1667. In May 2000, the euro reached its recent low at $0.8895, reversing the downward trend back to $0.9649 in June, retreating again to $0.9033 in early August. Thus, the euro is down some 23 percent from its initial value. The story of the euro-dollar rate fluctuations over the past eighteen months must be studied in its proper historical context. How does it compare with the rate of fluctuation of the dollar vis-à-vis other pre-euro Western European currencies, especially the pound sterling, the deutschmark, the frank, the guilder, the lira over the past twenty years? How about the history of the exchange rate fluctuation of the yen vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar? The real issue is not the euro-dollar exchange rate. It is the emergence of the supranational macroeconomic model which warrants a close study.

For the present, eleven EU member states of the European Union (EU) have voluntarily agreed to cease to have typical sovereign nation state economies. Denmark and Sweden have also recently voted to join the euro system, subject to a referendum in each case. The U.K. is the lone EU member continuing the debate “to be or not to be” a member of the euro system.

Monetary sovereignty of the member economies of the euro system has been voluntarily surrendered to the European Central Bank, the legally constituted authority under its independent Governing Council, to exercise monetary sovereignty for all its member economies both in terms of supply of aggregate stock of money and determination of the core rate(s) of interest. Political sovereignty continues to remain vested in nation states. However, many scholars in Western Europe point to institutions such as, ECOFIN, the European Parliament, EU Representation to WTO by one EU designee, Euro system’s IMF status, the emerging concept of European defense system, as indicating that
even political sovereignty is in the process being merged into that of the European Union. To learn about
the emerging single European political expression will be a complex and difficult process.

Two recent events merit special mention. First, President Chirac’s lecture stressing the need for
restructuring the EU at the German Parliament on June 27 this year is a milestone in this regard. Second,
the constitution of the special committee to be headed by Alexandre Lamfalussi is expected to help
expedite the process of organizing the political structure of a single Europe.

Indeed, in my view, the prime factor contributing to the fluctuation of euro-dollar exchange rate is
the popular belief that one unified Western European monetary system in the absence of one unified
political system is flawed. It is too novel an experiment. There is the issue of credibility and the
market response to it is manifest in the recent euro-dollar exchange rate fluctuations. In the United States,
the political union came some 150 years prior to the constitution of the Federal Reserve System in 1913
with the authority to manage the nation’s monetary policy. In Western Europe, the process begins with
the European Central Bank and one currency, the euro, while Western Europe only begins to evolve
toward one European political entity.

One more specific factor has impacted on the euro-dollar exchange rate fluctuations. This is
the recent appreciation of sterling exchange rate vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar and the euro. With the
inauguration of the euro regime, the U.K., an important EU member with great historical significance in
the world financial market, elected not to join the system. This decision was followed by a sizeable flow
of funds to the sterling market from the dollar- and- yen markets, and possibly also from other oil-dollar
rich economies. The investors were concerned to earn access to the EU market through U.K’s
membership in the EU, while at the same time, retaining their competitive currency-risk edge vis-à-vis
the euro. Further adding to the demand for sterling, was the inflow of funds from the euro regime to the
sterling regime.

The above chain of events now became responsible for making the sterling exchange rate vis-à-vis
the euro non-competitive. Unit cost of production in the sterling regime became higher and made their
products in the world market increasingly less competitive. Recently many overseas corporations with
sterling investments have experienced disadvantage in terms of cost/price competitiveness. Will these
investors be forced by market to relocate their respective investments in the euro regime? Will that expose
the sterling to a depreciation vis-à-vis the euro? Will that lead to the appreciation of the value of the euro vis-à-vis the sterling and the yen? Will that influence the euro–dollar rate? These are issues which remain to be determined. Will the U.K., plagued by the outflow of overseas funds and a consequent rise in the rate of unemployment and the possible threat of inflation then join the euro system? Will these events bring the euro and the dollar into a new market equilibrium? What impact will be felt on the international value of the yen? Truly, the EURO REVOLUTION raises many crucial questions.

It is important to recognize that in terms of their respective shares of world output and world trade, the EU and the Euro regime (even without the U.K.) and the U.S. and its Dollar regime continue to enjoy a competitive position in the global market. Japan, until recently the world’s second largest economy both in terms of her shares of world output and world trade, has now become a distant third and remain exposed to possible risks. It will be a mistake to call the euro system a currency union or a monetary union of independent currencies of sovereign nation states. It is a new supra-national macro-economic framework with one currency and one monetary policy, managed by one supranational central bank. A great deal remains to be learned.
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